Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Environmental Assessment

Operator: EOG Resources, Inc.
Well Name/Number: Sidney No. 1-2021H
Location: SW SW Section 20 T26N R53E
County: Richland, MT; Field (or Wildcat) Wildcat
Air Quality
(possible concerns)
Long drilling time: No. 30-40 days drilling time.
Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): <u>Triple derrick drilling rig to drill a single lateral</u>
horizontal Bakken Formation well, 19,034'MD/9030'TVD.
Possible H2S gas production: Slight possibility of H2S from Mississippian Formations.
In/near Class I air quality area: Closest Class I air quality area is the Fort Peck Indian Reservation, about
8.5 miles to the northwest from this location. A in mulitary provides a floring (section). We provide a p
Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under rule 75-
<u>2-211.</u>
Mitigation:
X Air quality permit (AQB review)
Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas
Special equipment/procedures requirements
Other:
Comments: If there are existing pipelines for natural gas in the area then associated gas must be
tied into a gathering system or if there isn't a gathering system nearby, associated gas can be flared under
Board Rule 36.22.1220. This is a single lateral, 19,034'MD/9030'TVD, Bakken Formation horizontal
well. Water Quality
(possible concerns)
Salt/oil based mud: Yes to long string hole will be drilled with oil based invert drilling fluids. Horizontal
section to be drilled with oil based invert drilling fluids. Surface casing hole will be drilled with
freshwater and freshwater mud.
High water table: No high water table anticipated.
Surface drainage leads to live water: No, closest drainages are about 3/8 of a mile to the southwest is an
unnamed ephemeral tributary drainage to West Charlie Creek and about ¼ of a mile to the southeast is
West Charlie Creek.
Water well contamination: No, closest water wells are about ¼ of a mile to the north, about ½ of a mile to
the northeast, about ¾ of a mile to the west and ¾ of a mile to the north from this location. Depth of these
stock and domestic water wells range from 35; to 223'. Surface casing will be drilled to and steel surface
casing set and cemented from 2487' to surface.
Porous/permeable soils: No, silty sandy clay soils.
Class I stream drainage No, Class I stream drainages in the area of review.
Mitigation:
X Lined reserve pit
X Adequate surface casing
Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage
X_ Closed mud system
\underline{X} Closed fluid system \underline{X} Off-site disposal of solids/ <u>liquids</u> (in approved facility)
Other:

Comments: 2,487' surface casing well below freshwater zones in adjacent water wells, also, covering the Fox Hills aquifer. Surface hole will be drilled with freshwater and freshwater drilling fluids. Surface casing will be cemented to surface from 2487'.

Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

(possible concerns)

Steam crossings: None anticipated, crossing many ephemeral drainages.

High erosion potential: Yes, high erosion potential on cut and fill slopes, moderate cut, up to 18.8' and moderate fill, up to 14.1' required.

Loss of soil productivity: _Slight, location to be restored after drilling well if well is nonproductive. If productive unused portion of wellsite will be reclaimed.

Unusually large wellsite: No, large well site 450'X330' for a single well location.

Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use appears to be cultivated land.

Conflict with existing land use/valuesA: Slight

Mitigation	
Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)	
Exception location requested	
X Stockpile topsoil	
Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)	
X Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive	

__ Special construction methods to enhance reclamation
Other

Comments: Access will be off existing county road #315. About 6,477' of new road will be constructed from the county road into this location. Closed loop mud system will be used in conjunction with a lined cuttings pit and two small pits, drill out pit and flow back pit. Oil based drilling fluids will be recycled. Completion fluids will be trucked to a Class II SWD. Cuttings pit will be allowed to dry and then backfilled with a minimum of 4' of cover over the top of the cuttings. No concerns.

Health Hazards/Noise

(possible concerns)

Proximity to public facilities/residences: Nearest residence are about ¼ of a mile to the north, about 3/8 of a mile to the north and about 1/2 of a mile to the northeast from this location.

Possibility of H2S <u>Slight chance of H2S from Mississippian Formations.</u>

Size of rig/length of drilling time: Triple derrick drilling rig 30 to 40 days drilling time.

Mitigation:

$\underline{\Lambda}$	Proper BOP	equipi	nent
	Topographic	sound	barrie

_X H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan

	a • 1	•	. / 1	•
	Special	egunnmen	t/nrocedures	requirements
- 1	Speciai	cquipinch	u procedures	requirements

_ Other:_____

Comments: Adequate surface casing cemented to surface (2487') with working BOP stack(5,000 psig double ram and annular) should mitigate any problems. Noise should not be a problems, sufficient distance from residence to rig should mitigate this.

Wildlife/recreation

(possible concerns)

Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.

Proximity to recreation sites: None identified. Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No.

Conflict with game range/refuge management: No.

Threatened or endangered Species: <u>Species identified as threatened or endangered are the Pallid Sturgeon, Interior Lease Tern, Whooping Crane and Piping Plover. Candidate species are the Greater Sage Grouse and the Sprague's Pipit. NH tracker website lists no species of concern in this Township and Range.</u>

Mitigation:
Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)
Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite
Other:
Comments: No concerns on private surface cultivated land. There maybe species of concern that
maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to what he
would like done, if a species of concern is discovered at this location. The Board of Oil & Gas has no
jurisdiction over private surface lands.
Historical/Cultural/Paleontological
(possible concerns)
Proximity to known sites None identified.
Mitigation
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)
other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)
Other:
Comments: Private surface cultivated lands. There maybe possible
historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to
consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during
construction of the wellsite. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.
Social/Economic
(possible concerns)
Substantial effect on tax base
Create demand for new governmental services
Population increase or relocation
Comments: No concerns
Remarks or Special Concerns for this site
10.0242MD/00202TVD -in-1-1-411i1-D-11
19,034'MD/9030'TVD single lateral horizontal Bakken formation test.

Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects
Cumming of Impute the Cumming Control
No long term impacts expected with the drilling of this well. Some short term impacts will occur with the
drilling of this well
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u>) constitute a major
action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does</u>
<u>not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.
Propored by (POCC): /a/Stayon Sacaki
Prepared by (BOGC): /s/Steven Sasaki (title:) Chief Field Inspector
Date: May 30, 2012
Duici
Other Persons Contacted:

(Name and Agency)
Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, Groundwater Information Center
website.
(subject discussed)
Water wells in Richland County
(date)
May 30, 2012
<u>US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website</u>
(Name and Agency)
ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA
COUNTIES, Richland County
(subject discussed)
May 30, 2012
(date)
Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (FWP)
(Name and Agency)
Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3, T26N R53E
(subject discussed)
May 20, 2012
May 30, 2012
(date)
If location was inspected before permit approval:
Inspection date:
Inspector:
Others present during inspection: